

UNITED & **ES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

-					NEY DOCKET NO.
	09/899,090	7/5/01	Beelman	95-	-1514
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· [EXAMINER	
				N: 1	Shat
	9			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1761	a
•				DATE MAILED:	
		INTE	RVIEW SUMMARY		
\II par	icipants (applicant, applican	t's representative, PTO person	_ `	-	
1) <u> </u>	1r Blasks	•	(3) U. Bha	Σ	
2)	Dr. Beelman	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(4)		
)ate o	Interview 1/22	2003		*	
			ant Dapplicant's representative).		Add to the second
	•	nducted: Yes No If ye	,	$i \tilde{a}_{ij}^{(i)}$	And the second s
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			* 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	150				
\greer	nent 🎾 was reached. 🗍 w	·	36		
Claim(s) discussed:	1 0 A	0: 1: 3: 7 / 80		
Claim(dentifi	s) discussed:cation of prior art discussed:	Murch at a	l. 6,367,488	>	
Claim(s) discussed:	Murch at a	l. 6,367,488	>	
dentifi	cation of prior art discussed:	Murch et a	• . · · ·		was discus
dentifi ———— Descri	cation of prior art discussed:	Murch at a	eement was reached, or any other declaration is	comments: 1+	ras discus
Descri	otion of the general nature of	Murch at a syred to if an agreed to if an agreed to 1.132	eement was reached, or any other declaration is	comments: It	cod howev
dentifi Descri	otion of the general nature of	Murch at a syred to if an agreed to if an agreed to 1.132	eement was reached, or any other declaration is	comments: It	cod howev
Descri	otion of prior art discussed: otion of the general nature of the applicant icant should on mush ros	Murch at a simulation what was agreed to if an agree that a 1.132 present arguly one of their and their argulations.	eement was reached, or any other declaration is went that if Missing No expects	comments: It not need with come	ed Youru position at the
Descri W \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	eation of prior art discussed: otion of the general nature of the applicant should and on which to a company of the applicant of the applican	what was agreed to if an agreement a 1.132 present argur mes there and a copy of the amendments	eement was reached, or any other declaration is	comments: It is not need with a comment commen	ed Yowev position at the a by the the claims allowab
Descri W Second My A full must b	eation of prior art discussed: otion of the general nature of the applicant from the should on wish ros h room will stant (w) or description, if necessary, a e attached. Also, where no ed.)	what was agreed to if an agreement and the second and the second are present are under the second a copy of the amendments which copy of the amendments which are the second as the second are the second	eement was reached, or any other of declaration is went that if M is no expectate veserved as the would render the claims allowable.	comments: It not need urch come than the practice agreed would render e is available, a sur	ed Yowev position at the a by the the claims allowab
Description of the control of the co	cation of prior art discussed: otion of the general nature of the applicant from the should on which you for description, if necessary, are attached. Also, where no edd.) It is not necessary for application the paragraph above has be the triangle of the paragraph above has between the paragraph above has betwee	what was agreed to if an agreed to if	eement was reached, or any other of declaration is ment that if M is no expectately eserved as the examiner as	comments: It not need uvels com practice agreed would render e is available, a sur	the claims allowable imary thereof must
A fulling the state of the stat	cation of prior art discussed: otion of the general nature of the applicant are description, if necessary, are attached. Also, where no ed.) it is not necessary for applicant the paragraph above has be WAIVED AND MUST INCL has are ready been filed, APTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW Since the Examiner's interviewelections and requirements	what was agreed to if an agreed to indicate to the if an agreed to indicate to if an agreed to indicate to if an agreed to indicate to indicate to indicate it in the indicate	eement was reached, or any other declaration is went that if M is no expected as the weserved as the would render the claims allowable and of the substance of the interview of contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN FITHE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Sec	comments: It	the claims allowable imary thereof must
Description of the control of the co	cation of prior art discussed: otion of the general nature of the applicant should and the street of description, if necessary, are attached. Also, where no edd.) It is not necessary for applicant the paragraph above has be WAIVED AND MUST INCL has are ready been filed, APPANCE OF THE INTERVIEW Since the Examiner's interview ejections and requirements are considered to fulfill the result interview unless box 1 at	what was agreed to if an agreed to indicate to the if an agreed to indicate to if an agreed to indicate to if an agreed to indicate to indicate to indicate it in the indicate	eement was reached, or any other of declaration is ween't that if M is no expected as as if available, which the examiner as the would render the claims allowable and of the substance of the interview econtrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN PARTHE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Secontrary in the interview of the int	comments: It	the claims allowable imary thereof must

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, Section 713.04 Substance of Interview must Be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application, whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

§1.133 Interviews

(b) In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for response to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111,1.135. (35 U.S.C.132)

1.2. Business to be transacted in writing. All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete a two-sheet carbon interleaf Interview Summary Form for each interview held after January 1, 1978 where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks in neat handwritten form using a ball point pen. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate paper number, placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" list on the file wrapper. The docket and serial register cards need not be updated to reflect interviews. In a personal interview, the duplicate copy of the Form is removed and given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephonic interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the telephonic interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- -Serial Number of the application
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (personal or telephonic)
- Name of participant(s)) (applicant, attorney or agent, etc.)

 An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted.
- An identification of the claims discussed
- -An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). (Agreements as to allowability are tentative and do not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview
- Names of other Patent and Trademark Office personnel present.

The Form also contains a statement reminding the applicant of his responsibility to record the substance of the interview.

It is desireable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his obligation to record the substance of the interview in each case unless both applicant and examiner agree that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check a box at the bottom of the Form informing the applicant that he need not supplement the Form by submitting a separate record of the substance of the interview.

It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form witl not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview:

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner. The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner,
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Stimmary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete or accurate, the examiner will give the applicant one month from the date of the notifying letter or the remainder of any period for response, whichever is longer, to complete the response and thereby avoid abandonment of the application (37 CFR 1.135(c)).

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

Applicant's summary of what took place at the interview should be carefully checked to determine the accuracy of any argument or statement attributed to the examiner during the interview. If there is an inaccuracy and it bears directly on the question of patentability, it should be pointed out in the next Office letter. If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth his or her version of the statement attributed to him. If the record is complete and accurate; the examiner should place the indication "Interview record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.